



HEALTH TECHNOLOGIES FUND: EXPRESSION OF INTEREST ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Q1: PROBLEM STATEMENT & PROPOSED SOLUTION

- a) To what extent does the Problem Statement articulate the challenge the team aims to address, align with the health system priority and substantiate the impact?
- b) To what extent has the innovative technology, product or process been clearly articulated? To what extent has the solution been validated? Do the examples provide evidence that the innovation addresses the elements of the Problem Statement?

Q2: PROJECT OBJECTIVE AND COLLABORATION

- a) To what extent has the overall objective of the project been clearly articulated? Do the proposed milestones, deliverables and timelines appear to be reasonable/achievable?
- b) To what extent, does the application outline the collaboration that will take place between the Health Innovation Team (HIT)?
 - o How well has the team clearly outlined the appropriate roles and responsibilities of the team members to achieve the objective of the project? (e.g. policy makers, health care leaders, patients, providers)
 - o To what extent has patient engagement been incorporated into the design, delivery and evaluation of the project?

Q3: IMPACT

- a) To what extent, does the application provide a clear description of what will be measured to support achieving the overall goal of this initiative?
- b) To what extent does the application describe the evaluation partner and how they will be engaged in the project (e.g. evaluation, health economic impact)? How well does the application describe how the evaluation partner will evaluate the impact of the proposed solution within any of the following areas:
 - i. Health care system performance (i.e. redesigning of the health care system, health outcomes, patient experience, and/or costs of care suppliers);
 - ii. Existing and future infrastructure (e.g., supply chain, IT support);
 - iii. Policy (i.e. reimbursement models, scope of practice constraints/opportunities and regulatory/legislative policy);
 - iv. Economic development within Ontario (i.e. globally competitive business and market opportunity);
 - v. Industry;
 - vi. Practitioners; and, Patients.

Q4: ADOPTION AND SCALABILITY

- a) To what extent does the application identify the potential barriers to adoption at a system-wide scale? and how these barriers may be mitigated? (e.g. process that will be used to buy the solution, behavior change of users such as clinicians or patients, workflow changes at the health service providing organization)
- b) How well does the application describe how these barriers will be addressed within the project and how the project outputs will support wider adoption and/or scalability of the solution to the system level (provincially and/or nationally)? Are the proposed next steps reasonable given this type of project?
- c) Does the commitment/support letter, provided by the HSP, clearly outline the organization's role in the project? (Yes or No)
 - o If yes, to what extent has the HSP (Lead Applicant Organization) committed to an active role in the project?

For Information Purposes Only